## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America			
v. ,			
AMBROCIO ALY OROZCO	Case No:	DNCW506CR000057-001	
	USM No:	21024-058	
Date of Original Judgment: September 8, 2008			
Date of Last Amended Judgment:)	Federal Defender Defendant's Attorney		
	Defendant's Af	torney	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Red	luction Pursu	ant to 18 U.S.C.	§ 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impossible subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to	osed based on a d States Senter account the po	a guideline senter acing Commissio blicy statement se	ncing range that has n pursuant to 28 U.S.C. t forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:			
■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's p	reviously impo	sed sentence of i	imprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment issued) of	is reduce	ed to	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG	F (Prior to Any l	)enartures)	
Original Offense Level: 37	Amended O		37
Criminal History Category: I			I
Original Guideline Range: 210-262 months			210-262 months
The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline rang The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rang Other (explain): Since the offense involved 8.4 kilograms guideline calculations.	the guideline than the amende.	led guideline ran	ge.
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS			
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment date	d <u>September</u>	8, 2008 sha	all remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
		1	
Order Date: September 26, 2012	Mis	larex	Vronhu
Effective Date:	1	7	
(if different from order date)		L. Voorhees States District Jude	pe